

1300 Eye Street N.W.
Washington, DC 20005
Telephone: 202 659.0100
Facsimile: 202.659.0105
www.novakdruce.com

**Novak Druce DeLuca
& Quigg, LLP**

RECEIVED
CENTRAL FAX CENTER
NOV 07 2005

Fax

To:	USPTO	From:	Jason D. Voight
Fax:	(571) 273-8300	Pages:	15 / 14
Phone:	(571) 272-1000	Date:	November 7, 2005
Re:	Attorney Docket: PF0000053175/PP		
cc:			

☐ Urgent ☐ For Review ☐ Please Comment ☐ Please Reply ☐ Please Recycle

● Comments:

In re Application of: Gonzalez et al.

Serial No 10/500,306

Filing Date: January 12, 2005

BEST AVAILABLE COPY

Attachment:

CONFIDENTIALITY NOTICE: This facsimile and any attachments contain information from the law firm of Novak Druce DeLuca & Quigg, LLP, which may be confidential and/or privileged. The information is intended to be for the use of the individual or entity named on this facsimile. If you are not the intended recipient, be aware that any disclosure, copying, distribution or use of the contents of this facsimile is prohibited. If you receive this facsimile in error, please notify us by reply facsimile immediately so that we can arrange for the retrieval of the original documents at no cost to you.

IN THE UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

RECEIVED
CENTRAL FAX CENTER

NOV 07 2005

IN RE APPLICATION

OF: GONZALEZ ET AL.

CONFIRMATION No.: 5212

SERIAL No. 10/500,306

GROUP ART UNIT: 1713

FILED: JANUARY 12, 2005

EXAMINER: WU, IVES

FOR: DERIVATIVES OF POLYMERS FOR METAL TREATMENT

I hereby certify that this correspondence is being
facsimile transmitted to the United States Patent and
Trademark Office Fax No. (571) 273-8300 on
November 7, 2005.
[Signature]
(Hortense Coleman)

Honorable Commissioner for Patents
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450

BEST AVAILABLE COPY

REPLY UNDER 37 C.F.R. §1.111

Sir,

In reply to the office action of October 06, 2005, it is respectfully requested the following remarks and amendments¹ be entered and considered for further prosecution of the above mentioned application:

REMARKS

Claims 14-25 are currently pending in the application. Claim 19 was amended to depend from claim 14, and claims 21 and 23 were amended to depend from claim 15.

The Examiner has argued the election of and restriction to one of the following groups:

¹ The Claim Amendments as set forth in Appendix I of this paper.